

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/900,388

Applicant(s)

TOMITSUKA ET AL.

Examiner

CUONG H. NGUYEN

Art Unit

3661

**All Participants:**(1) CUONG H. NGUYEN (USPTO).(2) Ms. ANASTASIA ZHADINA (Reg. no. 48,544).**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 October 2005**Time:** 11:25 am**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner would like to remind applicants that USPTO receives no response for a Final Office Action mailed on 4/19/05; therefore, this case is automatically in ABANDON status. Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question.